

**REMARKS**

The examiner objected to applicants' amendment questioning the propriety of amended claim 1 which incorporates in the alternative the allowed subject matter of now canceled claims 2 and 4. Specifically, the questioned recitation in amended claim 1 is: wherein said first box hinge portion pivot points and said second box hinge pivot points are one of either (a) protrusions and depressions, respectively (claim 2), and otherwise (b) depressions and protrusions, respectively (claim 4). MPEP Section 2173.05(h) states that such claiming is permissible.

Applicant also submits that the amendment is consistent with the limitations of original allowed claims 2 and 4.

Therefore, applicant submits that the amendment filed March 28, 2008 is proper and that the claims as amended are allowable.

Respectfully submitted,

Donald J. Propp et al.

Fildes & Outland, P.C.



---

Christopher J. Fildes, Attorney  
Registration No. 32,132  
20916 Mack Avenue, Suite 2  
Grosse Pointe Woods, MI 48236  
(313) 885-1500